

Aluminium Determinations in Parenteral Solutions

Application Note

Atomic Absorption

Author

Scott Ericson Baxter Healthcare Corporation PO Box 490 Round Lake, IL 60073 USA

Introduction

An Agilent atomic absorption spectrometer was used to evaluate the performance for the determination of aluminium in parenteral solutions. A wide range of test samples were run on the instrument over a six month period. Results, based upon recoveries of aluminium supplements, were satisfactory for quantitative measurements. The preferred furnace arrangement for the assays was a pyrolytically coated tube without a platform.



Experimental

Instrumentation

The instrument was an Agilent SpectrAA-400 Zeeman Atomic Absorption Spectrometer with autosampler and IBM PS/2 Model 3286 computer. The graphite tube was partitioned pyrolytic coated and normal inert gas was argon. A platform was used for part of this study.

Table 1 contains spectrometer parameters for the aluminium determinations along with typical calibration and sample volumes programmed into the autosampler. The first experiments were performed using a furnace program supplied by Varian in Wood Dale, Illinois, but the program was later modified. The furnace parameters are listed in Table 2.

Table 1. Spectrometer and Autosampler Conditions

Table 2a. Graphite Furnace Parameters-Initial Temperature Program for Aluminium Assays

| Step | Temp °C | Time | Gas flow | Gas type | Read |
|------|---------|------|----------|----------|------|
| 1 | 95 | 5.0 | 3.0 | Normal | No |
| 2 | 95 | 40.0 | 3.0 | Normal | No |
| 3 | 120 | 10.0 | 3.0 | Normal | No |
| 4 | 500 | 15.0 | 3.0 | Normal | No |
| 5 | 1600 | 10.0 | 3.0 | Normal | No |
| 6 | 1600 | 20.0 | 3.0 | Normal | No |
| 7 | 1600 | 2.0 | 0.0 | Normal | No |
| 8 | 2600 | 0.5 | 0.0 | Normal | Yes |
| 9 | 2600 | 4.0 | 0.0 | Normal | Yes |
| 10 | 2700 | 2.0 | 3.0 | Normal | No |

Table 2b. Graphite Furnace Parameters – Modified Temperature Program

Read

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

No

Yes

Yes

No

Gas type

Normal

| Instrument parameters | Step | Temp °C | Time | Gas flow | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|------|------|-----|
| Lamp current (mA) | 1 | 0 | | 1 | 95 | 5.0 | 0.0 |
| Slit width (nm) | (|).5 | | 2 | 95 | 40.0 | 3.0 |
| Slit height | | Vormal | | 3 | 120 | 20.0 | 3.0 |
| Wavelength (nm) | | 309.3 | | 4 | 500 | 10.0 | 3.0 |
| Sample introduction | | Sampler automixi | ng | 5 | 500 | 20.0 | 3.0 |
| Measurement time (sec | onds) 3 | 3.0 | | 6 | 1400 | 20.0 | 3.0 |
| Replicates | | 2 | | 7 | 1400 | 20.0 | 3.0 |
| Background correction | | Dn | | 8 | 1400 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| Maximum absorbance | | 2.00 | | 9 | 2500 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| Sampler volumes | Solution | Blank | Modifier | 10 | 2500 | 3.0 | 0.0 |
| Blank | 0 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 2500 | 2.0 | 3.0 |
| Standard 1 | 4 | 11 | 5 | | | | |
| Standard 2 | 6 | 9 | 5 | | | | |
| Standard 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| Sample | 10 | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| Multiple inject | No | | | | | | |
| Hot inject | No | | | | | | |

Pre inject mod.

No

Test solutions

Dianeal (peritoneal dialysis) solution, low Ca, 1.5% dextrose¹

Dianeal solution, low Ca, 2.5% dextrose¹

Dianeal solution, low Ca, 3.5% dextrose¹

Heparin, sodium, 5 units/mL in 0.9% NaCl¹

10% Travasol (amino acid injection) solution with electrolytes¹

2.75% Travasol solution with 10% dextrose¹

15% Novamine (amino acid injection) solution²

Amino acid mixture B powder - a blend of 12 amino acids

Amino acid mixture C powder - a blend of 13 amino acids

¹ Product of Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Deerfield, Illinois ² Product of Kabi Pharmacia, Clayton, NC

Reagents

Deionized distilled water, NANOpure II, Sybron/ Barnstead

Nitric acid, J. T. Baker Chemical Co., Ultrex

Magnesium nitrate, recrystallized from reagent grade

1000 mg/L aluminium, commercial standard, Ricca Chemical Co.

A working calibration standard of 10 ng/mL was prepared each day by successive dilutions of the aluminium stock solution in 0.14 M nitric acid.

A matrix modifier solution was added to standard and sample injections. The modifier solution contained 22 mL of water, 2 mL of nitric acid and 1 mL of a 20% w/v solution of the recrystallized magnesium nitrate. Each injection included five microlitres of the modifier, adding 40 micrograms of magnesium nitrate. The purity of the magnesium nitrate was essential to the success of the assay, otherwise the reagent blanks were too high to obtain a standard calibration.

Reagents and samples were prepared exclusively in plastic labware. The autosampler vials were soaked in a 1.4 M nitric acid solution and rinsed with water before use.

Sample Preparation

Initially, samples of Dianeal solution and heparin were tested directly against external standards with no pretreatment. The samples were supplemented with 10 ng/mL of aluminium added by the autosampler to determine analytical recoveries. The experiments were run with and without a platform installed in the graphite tube, using the first temperature program detailed in Table 2.

Powdered samples of amino acids were prepared by adding five grams to a 100 mL polymethylpentene volumetric flask containing one mL of nitric acid in about 50 mL of water. The sample was allowed to dissolve and the flask filled to volume with water. Some of the amino acid samples were run using the first temperature program listed in Table 2, then the program was modified and all further work done using the second temperature program.

The technique for the more viscous samples in-volved diluting in the autosampler cups with a 0.14 M nitric acid solution. The following dilutions were made using micropipeters with disposable plastic tips: 10% Travasol with Electrolytes 1+2, 15% Novamine 1+3, Travasol/Dextrose 1+1.

Results

Data obtained for the test solutions under various conditions are presented in Table 3. The data is listed in chronological order of the experiments. The somewhat poorer recoveries at the top of the Table may be due in part to the learning curve of the instrument operator.

Table 3. Aluminium Assay Results

| Test solutions | %Recovery for tube wall | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Dianeal, low Ca, 1.5% dextrose | 82, 79 | | |
| Dianeal, low Ca, 2.5% dextrose | 76 | | |
| Dianeal, low Ca, 3.5% dextrose | 61 | | |
| | %Recovery for platform Run #1 Run #2 | | |
| Dianeal, low Ca, 1.5% dextrose | 82, 85 86, 87 | | |
| Dianeal, low Ca, 2.5% dextrose | 76 103 | | |
| Dianeal, low Ca, 3.5% dextrose | 55 82 | | |
| Heparin, sodium in saline | 105,117 | | |
| Amino acid mixture C, | #1 92, 86 | | |
| | #2 82, 81 | | |
| Modified temperature program 1400 °C ash, 2500 °C atomize | %Recovery for platform | | |
| 10% Travasol w/electrolyte | 113, 106 | | |
| Amino acid mix C, 5% w/v | 93, 104 | | |
| Amino acid mix B, 5% w/v | 90 | | |
| Amino acid mix B, 5% w/v | 112, 104, 102 | | |
| Amino acid mix B, 5% w/v | 109, 112 | | |
| Amino acid mix B, 5% w/v | 110, 106 | | |
| | %Recovery for tube wall | | |
| 15% Novamine | 103, 104, 103 | | |
| 15% Novamine | 106, 112, 115 | | |
| 15% Novamine | 109, 103, 105 | | |
| Dianeal, low Ca | 98 | | |
| Dianeal, low Ca | 97 | | |
| Travasol/dextrose | 95 | | |
| Amino acid mix B, 5% w/v | 112, 104 | | |
| Amino acid mix B, 5% w/v | 109, 112 | | |
| Amino acid mix B, 5% w/v | 110, 106 | | |

A more moderate ashing temperature of 1400 °C appeared to improve the instrument performance, even for samples such as Dianeal that contain electrolytes.

The sensitivity of the assay, as calculated by the characteristic mass, was 14 pg (for 0.0044 absor-bance). Determinations at the ultra-trace level may be performed with such an instrument sensitivity.

There is no obvious benefit revealed in the data by using a platform instead of using tube wall injections. It may be that the massive centre section of the Agilent graphite tube design allows for performance resembling that of a stabilized temperature furnace. Injections onto the tube wall generally allowed for more reproducible absorbance readings than those on a platform. Since tube wall injections were free of problems such as test solution running off the platform, they offered a more trouble-free option for routine instrument operation.

Figure 1 contains absorbance profiles of injections onto the tube wall for an 8 ng/mL calibration stan-dard (top) and a sample of amino acid mixture B supplemented with 6 ng/mL of aluminium. The peaks have similar gausian shapes with no obvious shoulders suggesting interferences. Injections onto a platform gave much shallower and broader absorbance profiles.

Conclusion

The experiments demonstrate that the performance of the Agilent SpectrAA-400 Zeeman Atomic Absorption Spectrometer is sufficient to assay a variety of parental solutions containing organic and inorganic components. Aluminium determinations at the ultra-trace level can be made reliably with the system.

For More Information

For more information on our products and services, visit our Web site at www.agilent.com/chem



www.agilent.com/chem

Agilent shall not be liable for errors contained herein or for incidental or consequential damages in connection with the furnishing, performance, or use of this material.

Information, descriptions, and specifications in this publication are subject to change without notice.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Agilent Technologies, Inc., 1993 Printed in the USA November 1, 2010 AA109

